

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/766,567	SCOTT, ROBERT B.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sarah Purol	3634	

All Participants:

 (1) Sarah Purol.

 (2) Dennis Haase.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 February 2005
Time: 10:15AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,2

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amendments to claims 1 and 2 were agreed upon in order to more clearly define the invention. See attached Examiner's Amendment. No further response from applicant is necessary

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)